IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

No. MDL 15-02641-PHX DGC

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 4

(Master Complaint, Master Responsive Pleading, Use of Short Form Complaint, and Waiver of Service for Bard Defendants)

The parties have submitted a Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand (previously docketed as Doc. 303-1) and a Master Responsive Pleading (previously docketed as Doc. 303-3). The Court has reviewed these proposed pleadings, finds them sufficient, and directs the Clerk to file them as separate documents in the Court's docket. The parties have also submitted a proposed Short Form Complaint, a copy of which is attached to this order. The Court also finds this proposed pleading to be sufficient.

All allegations pled in the Master Complaint and all responses pled in the Master Responsive Pleading are deemed pled in any previously filed Complaint and Responsive Pleading in this MDL proceeding, except as expressly noted below. They are also deemed pled in any Short Form Complaint and Entry of Appearance filed after the entry of this

¹ The reference to "Federal Rule of Evidence 8" on the first page of the Master Complaint shall be deemed to be a reference to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8.

order, except that the Master Complaint applies only against the Defendant or Defendants identified in such future-filed Short Form Complaints.

The following cases will not be governed by the Master Complaint and Master Responsive Pleading, but will continue to be governed by the complaints (including any amended complaints) and answers filed in the various transferor courts prior to transfer:

Plaintiff	Original Jurisdiction
1. Cason, Pamela	GA – N.D. Ga.
	1:12-cv-1288
2. Coker, Jennifer	GA – N.D. Ga.
	1:13-cv-515
3. Conn, Charles	TX – S.D. Tex.
	4:14-cv-298
4. Ebert, Melissa	PA – E.D. Pa.
	5:12-cv-1253
5. Fox, Susan	TX – N.D. Tex.
	3:14-cv-133
6. Henley, Angela	WI – E.D. Wis.
	2:14-cv-59
7. Keen, Harry	PA – E.D. Pa.
	5:13-cv-5361
8. Milton, Gary	GA – M.D. Ga.
	5:14-cv-351
9. Mintz, Jessica	NY – E.D.N.Y.
	2:14-v-4942
10. Ocasio, Denise	FL – M.D. Fla.
	8:13-cv-1962

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
	6
2	7

28

Plaintiff	Original Jurisdiction
11. Rivera (McClarty), Vicki	MI – E.D. Mich.
	4:14-cv-13627
12. Smith, Erin	TX – E.D. Tex.
	1:13-cv-633
13. Tillman, Lessie	FL – M.D. Fla.
	3:13-cv-222

On or after **December 28, 2015**, any plaintiff whose case would be subject to transfer to MDL 2641 may file his or her case directly in this Court by using the Short Form Complaint. If such a case is filed in this Court without the use of the Short Form Complaint, Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel shall promptly advise the filing party to file an amended complaint using the Short Form Complaint. If the filing party fails to do so, Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel shall promptly notify the Court.

Defendants are not required to file answers to Short Form or Amended Short Form Complaints. An Entry of Appearance shall constitute a denial of all allegations in the Short Form or Amended Short Form Complaints except as herein provided, and an assertion of all defenses included in the Master Responsive Pleading. By filing an Entry of Appearance in response to a Short Form Complaint, in lieu of an answer, Defendants do not waive any defenses, including jurisdictional and service defenses.

Defendants shall have 60 days from the entry of this order to file any motion for failure of the Master Complaint to state a claim upon which relief may be granted pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) and 12(h)(2), and Plaintiff's shall have 30 days to respond.

Civil actions in this MDL were transferred to this Court by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. Upon completion of the pretrial proceedings related to a civil action as determined by this Court, the case shall be transferred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) or § 1406(a) to the District Court identified in the Short Form Complaint, provided the

parties choose not to waive *Lexecon, Inc. v. Milberg Weiss Bershad Hynes & Lerach*, 523 U.S. 26 (1998). The fact that a case was filed directly in this District and MDL proceeding shall not constitute a determination by this Court that jurisdiction or venue are proper in this District, and shall not result in this Court being deemed the "transferor court" for purposes of this MDL. In addition, filing a Short Form Complaint in this District shall have no impact on the conflict of law rules to be applied to the case. Instead, the law of the jurisdiction where the case is ultimately transferred will govern any conflict of law. Prior to transfer, Defendants may object to the district specified in the Short Form Complaint, based on venue or jurisdiction (including a lack of personal jurisdiction based on *Daimler AG v. Bauman*, 134 S. Ct. 746 (2014)), and propose an alternative jurisdiction for the Court's consideration.

Subject to the conditions set forth in this order, Defendant C.R. Bard, Inc. and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. (collectively "Bard") waive service of process in cases filed in this Court using the Short Form Complaint and in which they are named as defendants and one or more IVC filter products either manufactured or distributed by Bard is alleged to be at issue. For such cases, Plaintiffs shall send a Short Form Complaint and a request for waiver of service pursuant to the provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 to Richard B. North, Jr. by email to richard.north@nelsonmullins.com; maria.turner@nelsonmullins.com; and matthew.lerner@nelsonmullins.com. Counsel for Bard shall return the signed waiver requests to the Court within the time permitted by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4. Plaintiffs submitting a request for waiver shall not seek to hold Bard in default for failure to timely answer or otherwise respond to a complaint in which service has been accomplished pursuant to the terms of this order without first giving Bard written notice of the alleged default and ten business days in which to cure any alleged default.

Prior to a Plaintiff's attorney filing a Short Form Complaint in this Court, that attorney must register for or already have a District of Arizona CM/ECF log-in name and password. If the Plaintiff's attorney does not already have a District of Arizona CM/ECF log-in name and password, that attorney **must** file the Short Form Complaint in paper

Case 2:15-md-02641-DGC Document 363 Filed 12/17/15 Page 5 of 10

form with the Clerk of Court and simultaneously file an Application of Attorney for Admission to Practice Pro Hac Vice pursuant to LRCiv 83.1(b)(2) (including all necessary attachments and filing fee). Dated this 17th day of December, 2015. Daniel G. Campbell David G. Campbell United States District Judge

1	5.	Plaintiff's/Deceased Party's state(s) [if more than one Plaintiff] of residence at
2		the time of injury:
3		
4	6.	Plaintiff's current state(s) [if more than one Plaintiff] of residence:
5		
6	7.	District Court and Division in which venue would be proper absent direct filing:
7		
8	8.	Defendants (check Defendants against whom Complaint is made):
9		□ C.R. Bard Inc.
10		□ Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc.
1	9.	Basis of Jurisdiction:
12		□ Diversity of Citizenship
13		Other:
۱4		a. Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Master
15		Complaint:
6		·
7		
18	,	
9	10.	Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is making a
20		claim (Check applicable Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s)):
21		□ Recovery [®] Vena Cava Filter
22		□ G2 [®] Vena Cava Filter
		2

1		€	G2 [®] Express	s (G2®X) Vena Cava Filter
2		€ Eclipse [®] Vena Cava Filter		
3		€	Meridian [®] V	ena Cava Filter
4		€	Denali® Ven	a Cava Filter
5		€	Other:	
6	11.	Date	of Implantatio	n as to each product:
7				
8				
9	12.	Coun	ts in the Maste	er Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s):
10			Count I:	Strict Products Liability - Manufacturing Defect
11			Count II:	Strict Products Liability – Information Defect (Failure to
12			Warn)	
13			Count III:	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect
14			Count IV:	Negligence - Design
15			Count V:	Negligence - Manufacture
16			Count VI:	Negligence - Failure to Recall/Retrofit
17			Count VII:	Negligence – Failure to Warn
18			Count VIII:	Negligent Misrepresentation
19			Count IX:	Negligence Per Se
20			Count X:	Breach of Express Warranty
21			Count XI:	Breach of Implied Warranty
22			Count XII:	Fraudulent Misrepresentation

1		Count XIII:	Fraudulent Concealment
2		Count XIV:	Violations of Applicable (insert state)
3		Law Prohibit	ing Consumer Fraud and Unfair and Deceptive Trade
4		Practices	
5		Count XV:	Loss of Consortium
6		Count XVI:	Wrongful Death
7		Count XVII:	Survival
8	۵	Punitive Dan	nages
9		Other(s):	(please state the facts supporting
10		this Count in	the space immediately below)
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
۱7			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
- 1			

1	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this day of November, 2015.
2	GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P.A.
3	By:/s/
4	Robert W. Boatman Mark S. O'Connor
5	Paul L. Stoller Shannon L. Clark
6	C. Lincoln Combs 2575 East Camelback Road
.7	Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225
8	LOPEZ McHUGH LLP
	Ramon Rossi Lopez (CA Bar No. 86361) (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
9	100 Bayview Circle, Suite 5600 Newport Beach, California 92660
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
11	
12	I hereby certify that on this day of November, 2015, I electronically transmitted
13	the attached document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and
14	transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing.
15	
16	<u>/s/</u>
17	
18	
9	
20	
21	
2	